

A
POSTSCRIPT
TO AN
ACCOUNT
OF A LATE
JUSTIFICATION
FROM
PETERHOUSE,
IN THE
UNIVERSITY of CAMBRIDGE.

LONDON:

Printed in the Year MDCCLXXVI;

WEST. 2 CR. P. 1

THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE

LIBRARY



WEST. 2 CR. P. 1

UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE

LIBRARY

WEST. 2 CR. P. 1

MA
atio
proc
gth
Chr
y, v
ve
car
to

A

O S T S C R I P T.

HAVE already given an account of my rusti-
ation from Peterhouse, and of the whole of
proceedings relative thereto, from Thursday
4th of January, when the riot was made at
Christian's rooms, to Monday the 8th of Ja-
nuary, when I was acquainted with my sentence:
I have also assigned reasons (and reasons which I
cannot appear insufficient) for submitting my
case to the consideration of an unprejudiced pub-

lic : I shall therefore now, without any previous apology, beg my Reader's attention to the following state of facts.

On Wednesday the 10th of January I left Peckham house in obedience to my sentence, which finished that day for the commencement of my punishment. I own that I was now resolved to seek every redress in my power, for the injuries which I had received. It has, however, been determined (to the happily for the learning of this kingdom!) that the Universities of England remain, under the sanction of the Legislature, the gloomy asylum of arbitrary power; where, instead of the promulgation of free and certain laws, are substituted the decisions of men, equally prejudiced and uncontrollable. The ears of the public were still open to my complaints. The account of my rustication was now nearly printed off, when by the unexpected arrival of a Friend in the University, the whole affair assumed a very different aspect; the voluntary assistance of this Gentleman secured to promise a speedy and amicable conclusion to my disagreeable business. In disputes of this

ture the most serious consequences too often originate from a reciprocation of trifling mistakes : and a disinterested mediator generally has it in his power (if prejudice has not fixed its roots too deep) to settle affairs, by a timely removal of error, equally to the advantage and satisfaction of all parties. Convinced of this, my Friend, without any sollicitation from me, waited on Mr. Borlase on Tuesday morning the 23d of January, with an intention to acquire a thorough knowledge of the case, which he imagined might best be collected from an impartial comparison of facts. The result of this visit was, that Mr. Borlase, insisting much upon the impossibility of a retraction on the part of the College, assured my Friend, that any offer of submission from me for my behaviour, which had been construed disrespectful by the Master and Fellows, would meet with a candid reception from the Society, which was very well inclined to favour my restoration.

I was conscious that I never had intentionally offered any disrespect to the Master and Fellows of Peterhouse ; yet as I found that the Society still
 persisted

persisted to look upon some part of my behaviour (which however never has yet been specified) in that light, I was willing to remove every shadow of disrespect on my part, and with the approbation of all my friends in the University, I delivered to Mr. Borlase,* at his rooms in Peterhouse, on Wednesday morning the 24th of January, the following epistle, desiring that it might be laid before the Society as soon as convenient.

To the Master and Fellows of Peterhouse.

I am sorry that my behaviour has been construed disrespectful, as it was not my intention to offer any disrespect to the Society of which I was lately a member, and to which I wish to be restored.

Jan. 24th, 1776.

ROBERT HOPPER.

On

* In a conversation which I now had with Mr. Borlase, I told him, that although an account of my rustication was printed, I would suppress the publication of it, 'till I received the answer of the society, as I equally wished to avoid the disagreeable extremities of a *publication*, or a *law-suit*. These words, as I afterwards understood, were considered as a *menace*, in which sense they were certainly never spoken.

On Friday the 26th I again waited on Mr. Borlase, who now informed me that the Society had not come to any determination; but that the matter would be settled the next day. Mr. Borlase added, that if the Bishop of Carlisle would accede to the opinion of the Fellows, there was not a doubt but that I should be restored.† On Saturday I received the following letter.

S I R,

I am to acquaint you that the Society has come to no determination respecting your being restored to the College; but will determine that point sometime before the division of the present Term.

I am, Sir,

Your humble Servant,

GEO. BORLASE.

Peterhouse,

Jan. 27, 1776.

This

† Is not this circumstance a strong confirmation of what I have before advanced, concerning the real origin of my persecution? See an account of a late Rustication, pag. 20.

This letter needs no comment.—I immediately published my case:—for whatever right the Society of Peterhouse might imagine it had to trifle (I might, perhaps, be justified in using a more harsh expression) with me on this occasion, I did not choose to take the same liberty with the public. I had made a promise;—and nothing but a reconciliation with the College could render the performance of that promise unnecessary.

My Reader is now in possession of a full and impartial state of my case. The facts which I have produced are such as the most subtle ingenuity cannot elude, nor the combined powers of falsehood overthrow. Upon the truth of these facts I rest my cause: and I shall expect with patience the division of the present term, which must bring along with it the final determination of the Society of Peterhouse.

ROBERT HOPPER.

Feb. 5th, 1776.



I am to be informed a long confession of what I have before advanced, concerning the real origin of my paper. See an account of a late Russian, pag. 20.